

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICAL	ANT
98	7693,499	08/07/96 UNU	ATTORNEY DOCKET NOCK
	-		
		A3M1/122	72
e e	NALD L GRU	EXAMINER C	
		SWECKER AND MATHIS	
	O 80X 1404	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
AL.	EXANDRIA V	A 22313-1404	11.30533 AOMBER
			25
			<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:   a)   is extended to run			1121100111 70110	1.0
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a potition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the processed response and also that distribution the date of the final rejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a potition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the processed response and also the date for the date on which the response, the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension feel purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension feel purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension feel purposes of the final rejection and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension feel purposes of the final rejection from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response and as set forth in by above.  Appellant's Response to the final rejection, filed	X	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONS	E:	
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.  Any extrassion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriation of the status of the fee have been filed is the date of the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response of determining the period of extrasion and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purpuses of determining the period of extrasion and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purpuses of determining the period of the originally statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.  Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	a) [	is extended to run	Or continues to nun	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a polition under 37 CFR 1.13s(a), the proposed response and the appropriate The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purposes of the first rejection, filed APT/SP has been considered for response or as set forth in by above.  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed APT/SP has been considered with the following effect, but it is not dee to place the application in condition for allowance:  There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  C. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:	ы г	O evoims throughout .		from the date of the final rejection
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriat The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. Any extension fee pursuant to 37.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.  Appellant's Pief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2/1/52 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not dee to place the application in condition for allowance:  1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:	, , ,		1	" or months from the date of the final rejection
Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed		Any extension of time must The date on which the resp purposes of determining the 1.17 will be calculated from	the obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFF onse, the petition, and the fee have been file a period of extension and the corresponding a the date of the originally set shortened status	R 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriated is the date of the response and also the date for the
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues to appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limited to allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limited to allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims objected to:  Claims allowed: Claims objected to:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  OAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	$\alpha \sqrt{4}$	Appellant's Brief is due in accor	dance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
a. Interes is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: When the claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancell the non-allowable claims.  3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: The Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasens why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID LLACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	<b>/</b> A	Applicant's response to the final to place the application in condi	rejection, filed 12/1/92 has been tion for allowance:	n considered with the following effect, but it is not deep
a. Interes is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: When the claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancell the non-allowable claims.  3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: The Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasens why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID LLACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	1.	The proposed amendments	to the claim and /or specification will not be e	intered and the final rejection stands because:
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation has not previous  been in the claims.  would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancell the non-allowable claims.  3. When the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims rejected: The Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID LACEY SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER		a. [ ] There is no convincing presented.	showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the pro	oposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation has not previous  been in the claims.  would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancell the non-allowable claims.  3. When the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims rejected: The Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID LACEY SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER		b. They raise new issues	that would require further consideration and/	or search. (See Note).
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The newly added limitation has not previous  been in the claims.  NOTE: heavy added limitation has not previous  been in the claims.  NOTE: heavy added limitation has not been approved by the examiner.  NOTE: heavy added limitation has previous  been in the previous  been in the previous  been in the previous  been in the claims will not be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancell will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed:  Claims rejected: However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  DANID L LACEY  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		c. They raise the issue o	f new matter. (See Note).	
NOTE:		d. They are not deemed appeal.	to place the application in better form for app	peal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo
NOTE:		e. They present additiona	al claims without cancelling a corresponding r	number of finally rejected claims
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancell the non-allowable claims.  3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):   4 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because   5 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		NOTE: The new		/· /
Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  Other		been in	<del></del>	THE THE PREVIOUS
Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected:  However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction   has   has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	2.	me non-allowable claims.		
Claims objected to:  Claims rejected:	3. /2	Upon the filing an appeal, the be as follows:	proposed amendment  will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims rejected:				
However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction  has has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			<del></del>	_ _
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because				-
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because	1			
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  DAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			overcome the following rejection(s):	
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Other ☐ DAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	4.	The affidavit, exhibit or reques	t for reconsideration has been considered but	t does not overcome the rejection because
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Other ☐ DAVID L LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	5. 🛭 1	The affidavit or exhibit will not t	99 Considered because and line at	
☐ Other DAVID L. LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		presented.	applicant has not show	wn good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
☐ Other DAVID L. LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	☐ The p	proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by the o	waminer Nuthery
GROUP 1300				DAVID L. LACEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
2/26				<b>4,1,0</b> 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,